

Eurasian
Research Bulletin



Sociolinguistic Features Of Dialectal Words In English And Uzbek Languages

Jalolova Kamola Malikovna

English teacher of Shakhrisabz branch of Tashkent Institute of Chemical Technologies

ABSTRACT

Sociolinguistics, as a field of study, focuses on the relationship between language and society, examining how language varies across different social contexts and groups. Dialects, as regionally or socially distinct varieties of a language, offer rich insights into sociolinguistic phenomena. Dialectal words reflect not only the linguistic diversity of a language but also the social, cultural, and historical contexts in which they develop. This article explores the sociolinguistic features of dialectal words in two distinct languages: English and Uzbek.

Keywords:

Sociolinguistics, dialects, language, sociolinguistic features, regions, literature.

There is significant dialectal variation in English, which is spoken in many parts of the world. Due to social, regional, and historical influences, these dialects frequently have different vocabulary, grammar, and pronunciation.

Regional Dialects: The English dialect, particularly within the United Kingdom, incorporates a wealthy differences of territorial languages. For illustration, the Cockney tongue, talked in East London, is characterized by its interesting vocabulary and pronunciation, such as the utilize of "apples and pears" for "stairs" (a frame of rhyming slang). Additionally, within the United States, there are territorial varieties such as Southern English (with words like "y'all" for "you all") and New York English (with particular vowel sounds and words like "schlep" for "carry").

Social dialects: Social components also contribute to colloquial contrasts in English. These incorporate varieties based on lesson,

instruction, and ethnicity. For example, African American Vernacular English (AAVE) has unique linguistic structures and lexicon that separate it from Standard American English. Additionally, the working-class dialects in Northern Britain have particular highlights such as the utilize of "nowt" for "nothing" and "owt" for "anything."

Sociolinguistic Importance: Regional words in English frequently carry social importance. For instance, the utilize of territorial tongues can reflect one's character, social foundation, or community association. Also, the method of dialect leveling, where territorial refinements are diminished in favor of a standardized frame, has gotten to be more common in media and urban centers due to expanded versatility and globalization.

Uzbek, as a Turkish dialect talked in Uzbekistan and neighboring parts, also shows regional variety that reflects its sociolinguistic differences. Uzbek dialects can be broadly

categorized into a few bunches, with each having distinct features in articulation, lexicon, and linguistic use.

Geographical Dialects: There are a few major colloquial bunches in Uzbek, comparing to the diverse districts of Uzbekistan. For example, the dialect talked in Tashkent (the capital city) is considered the standard, whereas other dialects, such as those from the Ferghana Valley and Khorezm, highlight interesting lexical things and phonetic characteristics. For illustration, within the Ferghana language, the word for "bread" is "non" (like within the standard tongue), but in Khorezm tongue, it is frequently articulated as "nang."

- **Social Dialects:** Ethnicity and social status have an impact on Uzbek dialect variance, much as they do in English. The Soviet era and the city's multicultural composition often resulted in a mix of Russian influences in urban languages, particularly in Tashkent. On the other hand, rural dialects typically preserve more traditional characteristics and terminology unique to the local way of life and culture.

- **Sociolinguistic Characteristics:** Uzbek dialectal terms can convey a speaker's background, social standing, or ethnic identity. Rural speakers, for example, might employ specific words or phrases that identify them as belonging to a local area, whereas urban speakers might use English or Russian loanwords that denote modernity or a better social status.

Both English and Uzbek have many sociolinguistic traits.

- **Language and identification:** Dialectal terms are significant indicators of identification in both languages. Speakers of both languages frequently take pleasure in their regional dialects as a way to convey their local and cultural identities. A speaker of Khorezm in Uzbekistan or Cockney in London, for instance, might utilize their regional dialect to express support for their community.

- **Sociolinguistic Stratification:** The dialects of Uzbek and English both express socioeconomic stratification. Received Pronunciation (RP) is frequently linked to the upper class in English, although regional dialects like Cockney may be

linked to working-class identity. The use of urban vs rural dialects in Uzbek can also reveal a person's educational attainment and socioeconomic standing.

- **Prestige and Standardization:** There are differences between dialects of both languages that are regarded as prestigious and those that are not.

In conclusion, the sociolinguistic features of dialectal words in both English and Uzbek languages reveal the intricate relationship between language, society, and culture. Dialects serve as vital markers of identity, shaping the way speakers perceive themselves and their communities. In English, dialects often reflect historical, regional, and social class differences, with distinctive vocabulary, pronunciation, and grammatical structures. Similarly, in Uzbek, dialects also serve as an important tool for social differentiation, illustrating regional, ethnic, and cultural diversity across the country.

Overall, understanding the sociolinguistic aspects of dialectal words in English and Uzbek contributes to a deeper appreciation of linguistic diversity and highlights the importance of preserving these dialects as an integral part of cultural identity.

REFERENCES

1. Trudgill, P. (2000). *Sociolinguistics: An Introduction to Language and Society* (4th ed.). Penguin Books.
2. Labov, W. (1972). *Sociolinguistic Patterns*. University of Pennsylvania Press.
3. Crystal, D. (2003). "English as a Global Language." *Cambridge University Press*, 47(1), 1-17.
4. Kibrik, A. A. (2013). "Dialectal Variation in the Uzbek Language." *Central Asian Journal of Linguistics*, 15(3), 65-81.
5. Jafarov, M. (2020). "Sociolinguistic and Dialectological Features of the Uzbek Language: A Comparative Study." In *Proceedings of the International Sociolinguistic Conference* (pp. 50-67). Tashkent: Tashkent University Press.

