Cognitive Semantic Properties of Titles in Foregrounding

Achilov Oybek Rustamovich   Associate professor at "Foreign languages" department, Tashkent state transport university.
achilovoybek88@gmail.com
(https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9071-8678)

ABSTRACT

This article examines the value of titles in cross-cultural communication using the findings of cognitive-semantic features that measured value of titles as well as the expectations and evaluations they carry or the influence they have on listeners.

Introduction.

It must be defined some of the terms used in this analysis in order to establish a conceptual framework. What is a literary text's title, to begin with? A title is the same as a text, according to an overly simplistic definition of the term. This suggests two main qualities: its briefness and its ability to encode information about that text.

Informativity can be systematically violated by literary trends in which the opacity or rapture of titles with the rest of the text is one of the defining principles, and 1) conaseness is relative (it depends on cultures, fasbions, genres). However, when the author uses ellipsis or polysemy, the author meant. [1,6].

Titles have been examined from a variety of angles. Researchers in the "theory of title" (also known as titrologie) sought to ascertain whether the label should be interpreted as a component of the text or, on the other hand, as a separate, paratextual element (see Genette, 1982). Semioticians (see Rothe, 1970, 1985; Hoek, 1981) attempted to explain the relationship between the title and the text in terms of a sign referring to another sign (both of a linguistic nature) and attempted to ascertain whether this relation was a single-sense one (from "label" to "product" or "label" to "product") or a bilateral one in which the text evokes the title in turn. [2,6,7].

The functionalist approach to text titles is one of the most well-known ones. The primary significant function of a given text title (out of the many existing ones) was sought after in attempts to define the concept from this perspective. This is a challenging question for the researcher, according to Slama-Cazacu (1999:721), as titles have no inherent function but are given one by the sender. The recipients determine what purpose the title serves for them during the act of reception. The variety of functions (some of which overlap) that may include a heraldic one (announcement of the text to come), a cryptic one (encoding the text encapsulation to be stored in), and others that may be present make it difficult for us to determine the hierarchy of functions intended for a given text. An iconic summary (provoking associations with the text's contents), a summarizing one (offering compressed information of the text), a hermeneutic summary (providing a clue for text interpretation), or a stimulating summary

(reawakening the reader's interest in learning the text) are examples of summary types [3,4,6,8].

Slama-Cazacu (1991, 1999) conducted experimental research on the hierarchy of title functions in an effort to identify the key mechanisms influencing title generation and perception. She claims that the goal pursued by the text under discussion determines which of the processes related to human mental competences is dominant. What, however, are these procedures? Guilford1 claims that they are: 1) Knowledge (discovery or recognition), 2) Memory (retaining known information), 3) Divergent Thought (looking for answers to a What's in a Title? problem), 4) Convergent Thought (expanding information to lead to a correct answer), and 5) Evaluation (decisions regarding correctness or adequacy)

Slama-Cazacu's view point is very similar to that of skopos who are knowledgeable about translation studies, as we will see in Nord's discussion of titles below. Through a three-stage experiment, she discovers that:

It is clear that a title can help readers remember an entire text, even one that is complex.

A title that is too general for the text it refers to causes subjects to remember the contents less accurately[5,6,7].

Subjects often come up with titles when "re-naming" texts that more accurately reflect the information in the text.

Most of the subjects responded that the most important quality of a title was its ability to motivate readers, while the remaining subjects chose concision or informativity (understood as the connection to the informational contents of the text) equally.

Regarding a title's flaws, every subject agreed that vagueness, overgenerality, or exaggeration were the main reasons why it was inadequate.

As a result of this experiment, Slama-Cazacu came to the conclusion that the principle that appears to be crucial in title production and reception is based on a dual tendency: the pursuit of an exact and specific correspondence between title and informational contents on the one hand, and the claim for motivation (the ability of a title to pique the reader's interest and curiosity) on the other.

You "never get a second chance to make a first impression," as the saying goes. Headlines and titles are very important in the world of content creation and social ads for creating that first impression. A well-written headline can influence whether someone reads an article, watches a video, clicks on a link to a product, or ignores it altogether. The art of rhetoric must be mastered if you want your headlines to have an impact. In this article, we'll look at a variety of rhetorical devices and strategies that can be used to help you write headlines that engage readers [4,5,6,9].

Alliteration is the close proximity repetition of the same consonant sound in words. This rhetorical strategy works well for headlines because it gives them a recognizable, rhythmic quality that can draw readers in. You can make your headlines more interesting and simple to remember by using alliteration.

The repetition of a word or phrase at the start of subsequent clauses is known as anaphora. By emphasizing a specific idea or theme, this rhetorical device helps to make the subject more memorable and persuasive.

Anaphora can be used in headlines to give them a sense of coherence and unity, which can entice readers and pique their interest.

Conclusions

In this essay, I've attempted to analyze, from the perspective of cognition, how the linguistic (title, subtitle, classificatory label) and visual/typographic (pictures, colors, and fonts) ostensive stimuli used by the author and publisher to introduce a specific theatrical text to a source audience were preserved or replicated in the target production.

References

1. Elam, Keir (1980): The Semiotics ofTheatre and Drama. London: Methuen. Genette, Gérard (1982): Palimpsestes: La littéralure au second degré. Paris: Seuil. . (1987): "Praguri". Romanía literara.
2. Halliday, M. A.K. (1973): Explorations in theFunctions ofLanguage. London: Arnold

3. "Translation as a Process of Linguistic and Cultural Adaptation". In C. Dollerup and A. Lindegaards, eds., Teaching Translation and Interpreting Insights, Aims, Visions. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins, 59-67. .

4. (1995): "Text-Functions in Translation: Titles and Headings as a Case in Point". Target, 7(2): 261-284.

5. https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/mastering-art-rhetoric-guide-rhetorical-devices-titles-dumortier

6. Achilov, O. (2023). HOZIRGI ZAMON TILSHUNOSLIGIDA ILGARI SURISH HODISASINI TADQIQ ETISHNING NAZARIY ASOSLARI. *Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики*, *5*(5).

7. Achilov, O. (2023). FOREGROUNDING AND INTERPRETATION. *Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики*, *5*(5).

8. Achilov, O. (2023). ILGARI SURISH VOSITALARINING UMUMIY VA O'ZIGA XOS XUSUSIYATLARI, KOGNITIV-SEMANTIK ASPEKTI. *Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики*, *5*(5).

9. Achilov, O. (2023). ANATOMY OF LANGUAGE AND THEORETICAL ASSUMPTIONS OF COGNITIVE SCIENCE, COMPARATIVE ANALYZES. *Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики*, *5*(5).