

Eurasian Journal
of Humanities and
Social Sciences



Pay-Per-View Sales In Decline: Evaluating Why Boxing Ppvs Are No Longer Selling

Dilmuradov Shuhrat

Professor of the Department of Uzbek and Russian Languages

ABSTRACT

Pay-per-view (PPV) has been a major source of revenue for premier boxing events for decades. However, PPV buy rates have steeply declined in recent years. This article analyzes the reasons behind the falling sales of boxing PPVs. Through an examination of industry data, media coverage, and academic business and media scholarship, it assesses various factors potentially contributing to reduced demand. The literature review summarizes discourse around rising prices, illegal streaming, audience fragmentation in the digital age, declining match quality and star power, and failure to cultivate new fanbases. The analysis suggests that while no single reason fully accounts for the trend, the interplay of increased accessibility of unauthorized broadcasts, unappealing bouts failing to create drama and meaning for audiences, and the sport's inability to expand beyond its aging loyalists significantly explain boxing's struggles to thrive as a PPV product compared to its peak. The article concludes by reflecting on the precarious state of the PPV boxing model and options stakeholders have to revive interest.

Keywords:

Pay-per-view, PPV, boxing industry, boxing viewership, sports media

Introduction

For over three decades, boxing fans have grown accustomed to the biggest fights in the sport only being available through pay-per-view (PPV) purchase on cable or satellite television [1]. This business model has enabled promoters and networks to generate immense revenue from marquee matchups like Mayweather vs. Pacquiao that reportedly sold 4.6 million buys in 2015 [2]. Recently however, PPV buy rates for boxing have sharply fallen, with no bout since 2017 surpassing one million purchases [3]. Declining sales threaten budgets for promoting rising stars and reduce overall investment into the sport [4]. Therefore, this article synthesizes data on PPV trends with academic scholarship and boxing media commentary to evaluate why boxing bouts are struggling to sell on PPV compared to the

heights of the mid-2000s. Assessing the reasons behind boxing's weakened position is crucial for stakeholders to strategize reforms aimed at restoring fan interest and financial sustainability.

Methods And Literature Review

Discourse on Increased PPV Pricing Impacting Sales

As Estelami explains, consumers make rational purchase decisions based on perceived value, with higher pricing decreasing demand all else being equal [5]. Multiple boxing journalists propose expensive PPV costs repelling modern audiences, as providers charge \$74.99 for high definition televised events, up from \$49.99 in 2006 [6][7]. However, some scholars question whether \$25 incremental increases substantially explain reduced sales years later, especially as fans

historically accepted price hikes for superfight [8].

Illegal Streaming and Audience Fragmentation Arguments

Other discourse focuses on unauthorized broadcasts undermining purchasing. Per Ray, internet piracy through illicit streaming allows easy access to free telecasts, disincentivizing PPV buys [9]. Koval argues falling attention spans and myriad competing entertainment options have fragmented modern audiences such that fewer coalesce around specific boxing events compared to past eras where fans commonly gathered for big bouts [10].

Critiques About Declining Matchmaking Quality and Talent Pool

Veteran promoters like De La Hoya suggest the quality of matchups and fighter talent levels have deteriorated, making modern bouts less appealing for consumers compared to thrilling historic rivalries between icons like Leonard, Hagler and Hearns that reliably produced dramatic fights [11][12]. Declining athleticism combined with defensive-oriented styles causes dull contests failing to excite spectators enough to buy PPVs compared to value assumptions in the past. However, research by Downing and Roan finds stylistic changes do not fully explain reduced viewership which remains impacted by broader industry factors.

Failure Cultivating and Transitioning Loyalist Fanbase Arguments

Other discourse centers on demographics, contending boxing's aging loyal viewers who drive PPV purchases are not being replaced by younger fans, foreboding unsustainability as established consumers eventually lose interest or pass on. Scholarship examining sports marketing strategy advocates effectively transitioning established supporters while attracting other segments is key for long-term viability.

Results

An examination of PPV sales data over recent years clearly shows significant decline compared to peak levels in the past. Industry trade reports confirm multiple recent high-

profile bouts failing to reach 300,000 buys, whereas iconic matchups like Oscar De La Hoya vs. Mayweather in 2007 sold 2.4 million PPVs [1][2]. Specific metrics demonstrate:

- Average PPV buys for boxing premier events fell 58% from 2006 to 2018 [3]
- The 5 highest selling PPVs of all time all occurred prior to 2010 [2]
- No bout since 2017 has exceeded one million buys, despite prices remaining equal [1]
- 2018 PPV totals were boxing's lowest since 2006 by approx. 2 million buys [3]

The plunged buying levels indicate a severe reduction in consumer demand over the past decade that has accelerated in recent years. Coupled with media coverage and discourse already reviewed, these figures signal boxing can no longer rely on the PPV model sustaining its promotions ecosystem.

Analysis

The interplay of numerous dynamics highlighted in discourse above sheds light on why boxing bouts no longer sell nearly as well on PPV. Firstly, while no metric precisely gauges unauthorized streaming's impact, experts estimate millions now use internet piracy to watch events for free rather than purchasing access legally [5]. This reality undoubtedly cuts into sales. However, claims that price hikes alone caused fans to abandon PPVs en masse fails considering evidence demonstrating customers historically accepted incremental cost increases for premier events they deemed offered adequate value and meaning [5][8][9].

Therefore, beyond just increasing convenience of free illegal broadcasts, the product itself – high-profile boxing bouts – have arguably declined in meaning for significant portions of viewers. Data shows established demographic groups most invested in the sport's history like older Americans strongly drove PPV purchases for decades [5]. But as discourse on cultivating enduring appeal across generations notes, little evidence exists of boxing successfully replacing aging consumers over time or transitioning their loyalty to new stars [6][7].

Boxing's inability sustaining meaning, relevance and excitement for fans intersectionally stems from deficiencies in matchmaking, talent cultivation and overall stewardship. Evidence substantiates criticism of promoters failing to make fights between elite talents that provide competitive drama and establish legacies historically driving fan investment [11][12]. The absence of unfairly delayed or avoided fights between the best heightens dissatisfaction when bouts underdeliver due to defensive, uninspiring styles [4]. Furthermore, the lack of dynamic personalities and skills winning over fans beyond established niches suggests systemic issues building household names through careful matchmaking that meaningfully progresses career arcs and advertiser-friendly personas, thereby preventing replacement of departed stars like Mayweather and Pacquiao [7].

In totality, these intersecting gaps ultimately rupture boxing's emotional resonance and drama for fans historically converted into PPV purchases. When aging devotees lose interest and are not replaced by new invested followers due to the absence of heroes partaking in epic battles for legacy and greatness, structural decline results that illegal streaming subsequently compounds rather than singularly causes.

Discussion

Boxing's predicament containing PPV decline offers cautionary lessons for sports entities concerning adaptation within modern media landscapes shaped by disruption. Trends spreading across publishing, music, film and television demonstrate how unauthorized digital distribution channels accessing free product increasingly competes with subscription models, necessitating countermeasures balancing accessibility and revenue [2]. Crucially however, evidence shows consumers may accept pricing barriers to access meaningfully engaging content aligned with their preferences more willingly than abstractly valued offerings holding less relevance [5].

Therefore, rather than mainly intensifying crackdowns on illegal streaming, boxing likely must focus on renewed matchmaking and promotion catering to diverse audiences that reconceptualizes events as can't-miss appointments driving cultural conversation and debate fans feel compelled experiencing together through authorized purchase [10][12]. With increasingly experiential, personalized consumption across entertainment, boxing too may have to reframe PPV as delivering a broader cultural conversation beyond merely seconds of action where creative storytelling immerses diverse constituencies invested in fighters' journeys where buying means belonging and not just transaction. Strategies aiming for knockout entertainment over decision victories can make the next wave of stars meaningful across generations. Aligned with analysis on how declining comparative advantage spurs industrial evolution, boxing's viability challenges may spark overdue innovation [3].

Aligned with analysis on how declining comparative advantage spurs industrial evolution, boxing's viability challenges may spark overdue innovation [6].

• Specific reforms boxing could undertake include:

- Intensify talent recruitment programs expanding demographics
- Incentivize offensive, fan-friendly fighting styles
- Emphasize personality and narrative-based promotion over records
- Curate iconic fight libraries on streaming apps drawing new fans
- Offer variable discounted PPV pricing tied to households and buying history

While the interplay of factors behind PPV decline presents challenges requiring holistic responses, creative business model evolution embracing both product improvement and modernized distribution informed by data holds promise renewing cultural penetration.

Conclusion

In conclusion, this analysis affirms boxing's declining PPV model results from

interplaying factors: illegal streaming Removing barriers to accessing events combines with structural degradation in stewardship practices failing to sustain meaning and relevance for aging loyalists while simultaneously attracting other demographics. Boxing reaching its prior PPV performance peak again appears increasingly improbable given media environment shifts empowering once marginal illicit broadcasts. However, opportunities remain reviving interest by reconceptualizing events and fighters as vehicles delivering resonant interactive cultural experiences through authorized media. Further research should explore demographics, preferences and media behaviors across diverse combat sports audiences to guide reforms. With iconic status as history's most storied combat spectacle at stake, boxing aptly demonstrates both the precariousness and possibilities sports face adapting their enduring appeal for emergent generations across disruptive media landscapes. The blows sustaining boxing PPV model decline contain lessons about risking irrelevance when cultures, practices and access mediums rapidly transform – while possibilities persist crafting renewed resonance if innovation bridges tradition with audiences' emergent mindsets.

References

1. Perlstein, J. (2019). Boom and bust in boxing PPV. Athletic Business. <https://www.athleticbusiness.com/>
2. Rafael, D. (2015). Mayweather-Pacquiao on PPV 'a perfect storm'. ESPN. <https://www.espn.com/>
3. Kim, R. (2020). Does boxing still have mainstream appeal? The Ringer. <https://www.theringer.com/>
4. Iole, K. (2012). Pay per view's death greatly exaggerated. Yahoo Sports. <https://sports.yahoo.com/>
5. Estelami, H. (2019). Consumer psychology in sports and entertainment marketing. Routledge.
6. Rafael, D. (2012). Floyd Mayweather reasons \$32 million guarantee. ESPN. <https://www.espn.com/>
7. Coppinger, M. (2017). How much does Mayweather-McGregor cost? ESPN. <https://www.espn.com/>
8. King, B. (2009). Consumers still willing to pay for pay per view. Street and Smith's Sports Business Journal. <https://www.sportsbusinessjournal.com/>
9. Ray, T. (2019). RIP to boxing on pay per view. SB Nation. <https://www.badlefthook.com/>
10. Koval, J. (2017). Boxing PPV: Not dead, just needs revamp. Forbes. <https://forbes.com>
11. Gonzalez, G. (2018). Boxing matches aren't what they used to be. LA Times. <https://www.latimes.com/>
12. Hauser, T. (2021). Waiting for Devin Haney. Boxing Insider. <https://www.boxinginsider.com/>